

Bartos, Myles

From: Taylor, Michael <mtaylor@springfieldmontco.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2013 1:06 PM
To: Bartos, Myles
Cc: Berger, Donald E.
Subject: RE: Tank Car Plan

Thanks Miles.

As indicated in our telephone conversation, the Township's position is that no work shall occur on site pending resolution of the Land Development waiver request and approvals, and receipt of all other required permits (grading, storage tank, lighting, etc).

Please feel free to refer any complaints that you may receive to Don or me and we will see to it that they are investigated.

Mike

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartos, Myles [mailto:Bartos.Myles@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2013 12:30 PM
To: Taylor, Michael
Subject: FW: Tank Car Plan

FYI... my comments to Eric.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bartos, Myles
Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2013 10:00 AM
To: 'Dailey, James'
Cc: Don Berger; Glenn Schaum
Subject: Tank Car Plan

Gentleman,

I sent Eric an email and just spoke to him regarding his plan. For my purposes, his plan doesn't have any significant deficiencies, but does require some additional details. Below are the bullet points he needs to expand upon and specifically include in the "maintaining the cap" section of his site plan.

- 1) Acknowledge (email) receipt of the letter I sent you. Also indicate your understanding of potential liability should you cause a release of sands.
- 2) Provide additional details regarding the placement of the two light poles in question (e.g. distance from the fence). It is not within my authority, but the township is concerned about setbacks off of property lines. I plan on coordinating with the Township to ensure both of our concerns are addressed. Please detail your proposed schedule (to the extent you can) for installation of these poles. EPA plans on being present for the installation.
- 3) Also please detail your plans for running electrical to the lights and any other structures, whether permanent or temporary.
- 4) During conversations prior to your plan submittal, you had indicated that repair material (2-A) would be present on site to repair the property surface as needed. Please describe whether this is the case.
- 5) I understand that the Township may require additional information regarding the addition of stone to the property. Please provide details of the volumes/tonnage, thickness, type, and timeline for any such changes. Include any engineered grading plans that may be required.
- 6) Include any additional information that you feel is appropriate to aid in defining your plan. Specifically describe how these requirements can/will be provided to onsite workers, subcontractors, landlords, etc.
- 7) At the meeting on Monday evening, you indicated that you would be replacing the locks on the wells. Please coordinate with EPA and PADEP as we may need access to those wells for sampling.
- 8) Lastly, EPA's original letter was sent to Philly Trans, LLC. EPA has learned that Cheltenham Transportation, LLC the company that plans to operate at the Tank Car property. EPA understands that these are separate companies and Eric Faust is the President of both and shall continue to be the point of contact. The original request for a site plan applies to Cheltenham Transportation, LLC. Please acknowledge your understanding of this.

I also told him he could document his intent to comply with these requests via email... and I would "conditionally" approve his plan from EPA perspective. However, he would still need to follow up with a written response noting the changes.

One question I have, there was a fair amount of talk regarding the land development issue and possible "stop all work"..... I don't have a clear picture of where that may stand. I don't want my "approval" to be contradictory of the Township's decisions.... I just want to coordinate. Can they do any work? Any limitations?

Thanks

Myles